

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/887,526	06/25/2001	Jon C. Evans	38616.0002	5740	
25227	7590 02/08/2005		EXAMINER		
MORRISON & FOERSTER LLP			RUDY, AN	RUDY, ANDREW J	
1650 TYSON SUITE 300	S BOULEVARD		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER 3627 DATE MAILED: 02/08/2005		
MCLEAN, V	A 22102				

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

\			/				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)	/_				
\bigvee	09/887,526 EVANS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit					
\sim	Andrew Joseph Rudy	3627					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	iress				
Period for Reply A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely, the mailing date of this con D (35 U.S.C. § 133).					
Status							
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 29 No.	Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>29 November 2004</u> .						
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This	☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ This action is non-final.						
3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowar	Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under E	x parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 45	53 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims							
4)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-40</u> is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-28 and 38-40 is/ar	4a) Of the above claim(s) 16-28 and 38-40 is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.	Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 29-37</u> is/are rejected.	Claim(s) <u>1-15 and 29-37</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.	Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner.							
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.							
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priorical statement 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National S	Stage				
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) Interview Summary (PTO-413)							
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		-152)				
Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:	The second of the	·,				

Application/Control Number: 09/887,526 Page 2

Art Unit: 3627

DETAILED ACTION

1. Claims 1-40 are pending. Claims 16-28 and 38-40 remain withdrawn from consideration as drawn to a non-elected invention. It is noted the Examiner inadvertently did not enforce the election of species requirement noted from the June 17, 2004 Restriction Requirement. However, such action is stayed for the time being.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

2. Claims 1-8 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter. Applicant's November 29, 2004 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. The "processing the user selection" may be no more than an individual writing down information on a piece of paper.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

3. Claims 1-15 and 29-37 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Matsumoto et al, US 6,763,334.

Matsumoto discloses a system and method for a user to create a proposed advertisement for a plurality of products for display on a computer. Applicant's REMARKS regarding Norwood are noted. The Examiner regrets this inadvertent insertion. However, Applicant is correct that Matsumoto was being referenced.

Applicant's November 29, 2004 REMARKS have been reviewed, but are not convincing. The term "preview of the proposed advertisement" does not define over Matsumoto, in view of common knowledge, i.e. previewing proposed advertisements for review by a user, e.g. a graphic artist working/displaying with a template and displaying a plurality of product references for review while working on a design layout to come up with a final proof. To have provided such for Matsumoto would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for doing such would have been to incorporate common knowledge advertising methods and enable them over a computer network.

It is agreed that Matsumoto does not disclose each and every claim limitation presented by Applicant. However, these claims limitation, sans the use of a computer network, i.e. the Internet, have been extremely well known and common knowledge with the advertising art. Matsumoto clearly discloses using a computer network for advertising purposes. To have provided Applicant's particular common knowledge method over a computer network, i.e. the Internet, would have obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art. The motivation for doing such would have been increased/easier access to the documents that needed to be reviewed in the advertising process. In sum, Applicant has taken a common knowledge method of previewing advertisements and transposed such to be incorporated over a computer network. It is the Examiner's present position that this is not novel.

Application/Control Number: 09/887,526 Page 4

Art Unit: 3627

4. Further pertinent references of interest are noted on the attached PTO-892, e.g. Rosenlund et al. discloses Applicant's inventive concept of a graphic artist using a computer network and template for previewing proposed advertising.

Conclusion

5. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Andrew Joseph Rudy whose telephone number is 703-308-7808. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday thru Friday.

Art Unit: 3627

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Robert P. Olszewski can be reached on 703-308-5183. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Andrew Joseph Rudy Primary Examiner Art Unit 3627